

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/525,192	JUNKER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard Edgar	3745	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Richard Edgar.

(3) _____.

(2) James Zeller.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 October 2006

Time: 17:00 EST

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 None

Claims discussed:
 28 and 29

Prior art documents discussed:
 None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Examiner's amendment. "[E]lement" in claims 28 and 29 should have been presented as -- elevation --. Applicants' representative authorized the examiner to change "element" in claims 28 and 29 to -- elevation --.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)